



AMR TECHNICAL SCORECARD

HUMAN

Bacterial Culture, Detection, Identification and Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing of Blood Samples



Version 4.4– August 2021







Score

Section	Sum of	Current Audit	Previous audit
	maximum	Date:	Date:
	points ¹	Current audit	Previous audit
		score	score
1. Documents and Records		%	%
2. Management Reviews		%	%
3. Organization and Personnel		%	%
4. Client Management and Customer Service		%	%
5. Equipment		%	%
6. Evaluation and Audits		%	%
7. Purchasing and Inventory		%	%
8. Process Control and Internal and External Quality Assessment		%	%
9. Information Management		%	%
10. Corrective Action		%	%
11. Occurrence Management and Process Improvement		%	%
12. Facilities and Safety		%	%
Blood Module Total		%	%
Blood Module Stars ²			

¹ Total number of points of all questions minus points for questions answered with NA. ² No Stars < 55% 1 Star 55% - 64%

² Stars 65% - 74% 3 Stars 75% - 84%

⁴ Stars 85% - 94%

⁵ Stars ≥95%

A. General Information

Name of Assessor(s)			
Title & organization of Assessor			
Name of laboratory being assessed			
Date, type and scope of last assessment?	Date	Туре	Score
Internal			
External			
Did the last assessment include assessment of bacterial culture of blood?		Y / N	

B. Technical Information

B.A What blood culture system does the laboratory use?

Automate	Type:	
d		
Manual		

B.B How many blood culture and molecular tests were performed last year^{3,4}?

		Mar	nual			Autor	Automated				Molecular⁵		
	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	
Hospital-acquired ⁶													
S. aureus													
Coagulase-													
negative													
Staphylococcus													
S. pneumoniae													
Enterococcus sp.													
E. coli													
K. pneumoniae													
A. baumannii													
Salmonella sp.													
Other isolates													
Gram positive													
соссі													
Gram negative													
bacilli													
 Yeast 													
Community-													
acquired ⁷													
S. aureus													
Coagulase-													
negative													
Staphylococcus													
S. pneumoniae													
Enterococcus sp.													
E. coli													
K. pneumoniae													
A. baumannii													
Salmonella sp.													
Other isolates													
Gram positive													

³ It is highly recommended that assessors obtain the necessary permission to review the laboratory data. However, if assessors are unable to review the laboratory data this question is NOT compulsory for completion of the assessment.

⁴ <u>http://www.who.int/glass/en/</u> and other frequently isolated pathogens.

⁵ Molecular tests performed on blood for the detection of bacterial blood pathogens.

⁶ Hospital-acquired infections are defined as bacterial infections in hospitalized patients (i.e. pathogenic bacterial isolated from a sample collected more than 48 hours after admission).

⁷ Community-acquired infections are defined as ambulatory patients and hospitalized patients from which a sample was collected less than 48 hours after admission.

Bacterial Culture, Detection, Identification and Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing of Blood Samples

cocci						
Gram negative						
bacilli						
Yeast						
Unknown /						
referred ⁸						
S. aureus						
Coagulase-						
negative						
Staphylococcus						
S. pneumoniae						
Enterococcus sp.						
E. coli						
K. pneumoniae						
A. baumannii						
Salmonella sp.						
Other isolates						
Gram positive						
cocci						
Gram negative						
bacilli						
Yeast						
TOTAL ISOLATES						
TOTAL NUMBER						
OF BLOOD						
CULTURES						
PERFORMED						
TOTAL NUMBER						
OF						
CONTAMINATED						
BLOOD						
CULTURES						
TOTAL NUMBER						
OF NEGATIVE						
BLOOD						
CULTURES						

Q = Quarter

Are there any significant variations (> 20%) in the number of blood culture tests performed or B.C organisms isolated or identified each quarter? If 'Yes', please explain⁹

Section 1: Documents & Records

All generic requirements apply, see SLIPTA Section 1. In addition, assessors should review the following:

⁸ If the laboratory can't distinguish between hospital & community acquired infections, the number of organisms isolated should be recorded as "Unknown/referred". ⁹ It is highly recommended that assessors obtain the necessary permission to review the laboratory data. However, if assessors are unable

to review the laboratory data this question is NOT compulsory for completion of the assessment.

AMR TECHNICAL SCORECARD: BLOOD Bacterial Culture, Detection, Identification and Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing of Blood Samples

SLIPT			Ν	Y	Р	N	Comments	Score
Α			Α					
1.5	B1.1	Does the laboratory have documentation covering the following processes?						
		a) Production of Blood Agar, MacConkey Agar or other media for blood culture pathogen isolation?						
		 b) Processing of blood samples 						
		c) Detection, identification and AST of blood pathogens						2
		d) Reporting of blood culture and molecular test results						
		e) Interlaboratory comparison or proficiency testing (PT)						
		f) Laboratory safety						
1.5	B1.2	Are the documents complete, in-date and witnessed by all staff performing blood culture and molecular tests ¹⁰ ?						2
1.5	B1.3	Are the following processes documented?						
		a) Rejection criteria for blood?						
		 b) A policy for reporting critical results? 						
		 c) Procedure for immediate reporting of Gram stain results of positive blood cultures? 						3
		d) Instructions for reporting blood culture tests with mixed bacterial growth?						
		e) Instructions for						

¹⁰ See ISO15189:2012 Clause 5.5.3 for minimum requirements for a technical Standard Operating Procedure (SOP).

Bacterial Culture, Detection, Identification and Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing of Blood Samples

unexpected AST results?	
j) Definition of rare /	
blood culture or molecular tests ¹¹ ?	
i) Turnaround time for	
Gradient and microdilution AST?	
diffusion, Etest /	
automated, disk	
to perform AST conversions for	
h) Instructions on how	
identification and AST?	
referral of bacterial isolates for	
g) Instructions for	
received after hours?	
f) Instructions for handling samples	
laboratory? f) Instructions for	
tests at the	
referral of blood culture or molecular	

Section 2: Management Reviews

¹¹ From sample collection to reporting.

Section 3: Organization & Personnel

All generic requirements apply, see SLIPTA Section 3. In addition, assessors should review the following:

SLIPT			Ν	Y	Р	Ν	Comments	Score
Α			Α					
3.6 B	lal be	there evidence that boratory staff have een trained in the llowing ¹² :						
	a)	Processing of blood for culture and molecular tests						
	b)	AST of blood pathogens						
	c)	Interpretation of blood culture and molecular test results						3
	d)	Reporting of blood culture and molecular test results						
	e)	blood culture and molecular tests						
3.7 B	la fo de la	Laboratory safety there evidence that boratory staff are llowing the procedures escribed in the boratory ocumentation? ¹³ :						
	a)							
	b)	Interpretation of blood culture test results						3
	c)							
	d)	Reporting of blood culture test and molecular test results						
Section 3:	Organiz	ation & Personnel Subt	otal				1	6

 ¹² Review training records, competency assessment forms and duty rosters. Pay attention to date of training and scope of training compared with techniques being performed.
 ¹³ Directly observe procedures being performed compared to the SOP.

Section 4: Client Management & Customer Service

All generic requirements apply, see SLIPTA Section 4. In addition, assessors should review the following:

followin SLIPT	·9·		Ν	Y	Р	Ν	Comments	Score
Α			Α					
4.1	B4.1	Is there evidence that the laboratory has provided clients information / instructions on blood sample collection, storage and transportation to the laboratory? Does the information / instructions include: a) Use of sterile techniques for drawing and handling of blood						
		cultures? b) Recommendations for the appropriate volume of blood per culture? ¹⁴						3
		c) Collection procedures for culture of anaerobic organisms?						
		 Collection procedures for blood cultures on pediatric patients? 						
		e) Interpretation of contaminated results?						
		 f) Frequency of sampling for blood culture? 						
4.1	B4.2	Is there evidence that the laboratory has provided clients information / instructions on						2
		interpretation of blood culture results and AST?						
Section	14: Clier	nt Management & Custome	er Ser	vice	otauc	ται		5

Section 5: Equipment

¹⁴ In case of automated blood culture, the volume should be consistent with the manufacturer's instruction for use.

Section 6: Evaluation and Audits

-

-

Section 7: Purchasing & Inventory

All generic requirements apply, see SLIPTA Section 7. In addition, assessors should review the following:

SLIPT A			N A	Y	Ρ	Ν	Comments	Score
7.10	B7.1	Is all media for bacterial culture isolation, identification and AST stored correctly and in date (from date of manufacture media must be stored at 2-8 °C) ¹⁵ ? • Blood Agar • MacConkey agar • Mueller Hinton						2
Section	7: Purc	hasing & Inventory Subtot	al					2

Section 8: Process Control

All generic requirements apply, see SLIPTA Section 8. In addition, assessors should review the following:

SLIPT A			N A	Y	Ρ	N	Comments	Score
MEDIA	QUALIT	Y CONTROL						
8.8	B8.1	Does the laboratory perform QC testing on all media before use ¹⁶ ?						
		Blood agar						
		Do QC records for blood agar plates demonstrate that they are checked for their ability to support growth of fastidious organisms such as <i>S</i> . <i>pneumoniae</i> ?						3
		Do QC records for blood agar plates demonstrate						

 ¹⁵ According to manufacturer's requirements.
 ¹⁶ This includes in-house made or purchased from commercial sources.

Bacterial Culture, Detection, Identification and Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing of Blood Samples

	1			 	
		that they are checked for			
		their ability to show			
		beta, alpha, and gamma			
		hemolysis?			
		MacConkey agar (MAC)			
		Do QC records for MAC			
		plates demonstrate that			
		they are checked for			
		their ability to suppress			
		growth of Gram-positive			
		organisms while			
		allowing the growth of			
		Gram-negative			
		organisms?			
		Do QC records for MAC			
		plates demonstrate that			
		they are checked for			
		their ability to allow			
		visualization of lactose			
		fermentation?			
		Mueller Hinton Agar (MHA))		
		Do QC records	,		
		demonstrate that MHA			
		plates are checked for			
		their ability to grow S.			
		aureus & E. coli?			
8.8	B8.2	Does the laboratory:			
		a) Perform sterility and			
		performance tests			
		for every batch of			
		culture media using			
		certified reference			
		strains as controls?			
		b) Are reference strains			1
		sourced from an			
		authorized supplier			2
		(e.g. ATCC)?			
		c) Are the reference			1
		strains stored,			
		cultured and sub-			
		cultured in			
		accordance with the			
		specification from			
		the supplier?			
8.10	B8.3	Does the laboratory			
0.10	20.5	determine the cause of			
		failed QC (root cause			
		analysis), perform			2
		corrective actions and			۲
		measure the			
	1	effectiveness thereof?			

BACTE	RIAL BL	OOD CULTURE PROCEDU	RE ¹⁷						
8.5	B8.4	Is blood incubated for a minimum of 5 days before being discarded if there is no visible sign of organism growth?						2	
8.7	B8.5	Are incubating blood cultures visually examined each day for signs of growth (e.g. turbidity, hemolysis or gas production)?						2	
BACTE	RIAL BL	OOD CULTURE PROCEDU	RE (V	VORK	-UP)				
8.7	B8.6	Are Gram stains performed for all blood cultures showing any sign of positive growth (e.g. turbidity, hemolysis, or gas production?)						2	
8.7	B8.7	Is sub-culture of positive primary blood cultures done based on Gram stain result?						2	
8.7	B8.8	Are blood culture subculture plates incubated at 35-37°C?						2	
8.7	B8.9	Does the laboratory report blood cultures as contaminated if they contain organisms that should be considered contaminants? (e.g. <i>Bacillus</i> sp., Coagulase- negative <i>Staphylococcus</i> , <i>Corynebacterium</i> sp.)						2	
8.7	B8.10	Are blood culture bottles which showed signs of positive growth, but from which no aerobic bacteria were isolated, sub-cultured to chocolate agar?						2	
	1	CUS SP. ID & AST BY CON	VENT	IONA	LME	THO	DS		
8.7	B8.11	Is the following testing performed for <i>S. aureus</i> identification? ¹⁸						2	

¹⁷ For complete recommended procedure, see the User Guide. ¹⁸ If the laboratory performs penicillin AST, it is recommended that *S. aureus* isolates with penicillin zones sizes or MICs in the susceptible range are tested for B-lactamase production using the zone-edge test or a nitrocefin test before being reported as penicillin susceptible.

Bacterial Culture, Detection, Identification and Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing of Blood Samples

2
2
2
2
_
2
-

¹⁹ If oxacillin and cefoxitin results are discrepant for *S. aureus* (one is susceptible and one is resistant), the laboratory should repeat the testing. Note: oxacillin testing should always be tested by MIC (not disc diffusion). If the results remain discrepant, oxacillin should be reported as resistant.
²⁰ If the laboratory uses an oxacillin disk (1ug) to screen for penicillin resistance (Penicillin G or Benzylpenicillin, the IV formulation) in *S.*

²⁰ If the laboratory uses an oxacillin disk (1ug) to screen for penicillin resistance (Penicillin G or Benzylpenicillin, the IV formulation) in *S. pneumoniae* and the zone size < 20, then the laboratory must do an MIC method before reporting penicillin as resistant (CLSI recommendation). EUCAST recommends that if the zone size is < 20mm to do a MIC, if \geq 20 mm the result should be reported as susceptible.

8.7 B8.17 Does the lab follow the latest CLSI /EUCAST guidelines for AST of Gram negative bacilli?'? Image: Combination Disk Test or another equivalent method for Extended Spectrum Beta-Lactamase (ESBL) screening??? 8.7 B8.19 Does the laboratory use Combination Disk Test or another equivalent method for Extended Spectrum Beta-Lactamase (ESBL) screening??? Image: Combination Disk Test or another equivalent method for carbapenemase screening? Image: Combination Disk Test or another equivalent method for carbapenemase screening? 8.7 B8.19 Does the laboratory use Combination Disk Test or another equivalent method for carbapenemase screening? Image: Combination Disk Test or another equivalent method for carbapenemase screening? 8.14 B8.2 Is the laboratory enrolled 0 in an interlaboratory comparison or PT program for blood culture and molecular tests for organism identification, and AST? Image: Comparison or PT program for blood culture and molecular tests for organism identification, and AST? Image: Comparison or PT program testing? Image: Comparison or PT program testing? 8.14 B8.22 Does the laboratory comparison or PT program testing? Image: Comp	Kligler Iron • Urease • Motility	
8.7 B8.19 Does the laboratory use combination Disk Test is creening ²² ? Image: Combination Disk Test is creening ²² ? 8.7 B8.19 Does the laboratory use combination Disk Test or another equivalent method for carbapenemase is creening? Image: Combination Disk Test or another equivalent image: Combination Disk Test or another equivalent method for carbapenemase is creening? Image: Combination Disk Test or another equivalent image: Combination Disk Test or another equivalent method for carbapenemase is creening? Image: Combination Disk Test or another equivalent image: Combination Disk Test in an interlaboratory comparison or PT program for blood culture and molecular tests for organism identification, and AST? Image: Combination image: Comparison or PT program testing? Image: Combination image: Combination image: Combination image: Combination image: Combination im	latest CLSI /EUCAST guidelines for AST of	2
8.14B8.2Did the laboratory pass tests for organism identification, and AST?Image: Second se	Combination Disk Test or another equivalent method for Extended Spectrum Beta- Lactamase (ESBL) screening ²² ?	2
8.14B8.2Is the laboratory enrolled in an interlaboratory comparison or PT program for blood culture and molecular tests for organism identification, and AST?8.14B8.21Did the laboratory pass the last 3 rounds of interlaboratory comparison or PT program testing?Image: Comparison or PT program testing8.14B8.22Does the laboratory receive onsite supervision visits as part of the EQA program forImage: Comparison or PT program for	Combination Disk Test or another equivalent method for carbapenemase screening?	2
0in an interlaboratory comparison or PT program for blood culture and molecular tests for organism identification, and AST?Image: Comparison or PT program for blood culture and molecular tests for organism identification, and AST?8.14B8.21Did the laboratory pass the last 3 rounds of interlaboratory comparison or PT program testing?Image: Comparison or PT program testing?8.14B8.22Does the laboratory receive onsite supervision visits as part of the EQA program forImage: Comparison or PT program testing		4)
8.14B8.22Does the laboratory receive onsite supervision visits as part of the EQA program forImage: Comparison of PT of the EQA program for	0 in an interlaboratory comparison or PT program for blood culture and molecular tests for organism identification, and AST?	2
receive onsite supervision visits as part of the EQA program for	the last 3 rounds of interlaboratory comparison or PT	2
Section 8: Process Control Subtotal	B8.22 Does the laboratory receive onsite supervision visits as part of the EQA program for blood culture and molecular tests?	2

Section 9: Information Management

All generic requirements apply, see SLIPTA Section 9. In addition, assessors should review the following:

SLIPT	Ν	Y	Ρ	Ν	Comments	Score

²¹ https://www.clsi.org / www.eucast.org/ ²² J Clin Microbiol. 2013 Sep; 51(9): 2986–2990.

Bacterial Culture, Detection, Identification and Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing of Blood Samples

Α			Α			
9.3	B9.1	Does the final report for blood culture list the organisms for which the specimen was and was not cultured ²³ ?				2
9.3	B9.2	 Does the laboratory report alert organisms which include at least²⁴? Methicillin resistant <i>S. aureus</i> Imipenem resistant <i>K. pneumoniae</i> Carbapenem resistant <i>Enterobacteriaceae</i> ESBL producing organisms Multidrug resistant <i>Pseudomonas</i> Multidrug resistant <i>Acinetobacter</i> 	• •			2
Sectio	n 9: Info	rmation Management Subt	otal			4

Section 10: Identification of Non-conformities, Corrective and Preventive Actions

Section 11: Occurrence/Incident Management & Process Improvement

All generic requirements apply, see SLIPTA Section 11. In addition, assessors should review the following:

SLIPT A			N A	Y	Ρ	Ν	Comments	Score
11.4 / 11.5	B11.1	 Are the following performance indicators collected²⁵? Number of blood culture and molecular tests performed (disaggregated by type) 						3

²³ The laboratory should inform the clinician on the report what organisms were excluded during the culture process. This may be either by choice of media or incubation conditions (e.g. anaerobic organisms). Assessors should review a number of laboratory reports to determine how results are reported. Procedures should be consistent with the laboratory's SOPs.

_

²⁴ Alert organisms are organisms with significant public health threat and / or organisms that are notifiable.

²⁵ It may not be possible for laboratories to distinguish between community and hospital acquired infection if this is not collected on the laboratory requisition form.

Bacterial Culture, Detection, Identification and Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing of Blood Samples

	o Hospital-							
	acquired ²⁶			_				
	 Community- 							
	acquired ²⁷							
	o Unknown/							
	referred ²⁸							
•	Number of blood							
	culture and							
	molecular tests							
	where pathogens							
	were isolated							
	(disaggregated by							
	type)							
	 S. aureus 							
	 S. pneumoniae 							
	 K. pneumoniae 							
	 A. baumannii 							
	o E. coli							
	 Salmonella sp. 							
•	Number and							
	percentage of							
	contaminated blood							
	culture tests							
	(disaggregated by							
	in-patient & out-							
	patient &							
	unknown/referred)							
•	Blood culture and							
	molecular test TAT ²⁹							
	(disaggregated by							
	in-patient & out-							
	patient and by type)							
ection 11: Occurre	ence/Incident Manager	nent 8	& Proce	ess In	npro	vement S	ubtotal	3

Section 12: Facilities and Biosafety

All generic requirements apply, see SLIPTA Section 12. In addition, assessors should review the following:

SLIPT			Ν	Y	Ρ	Ν	Comments	Score
Α			Α					
12.8	B12.1	Is a biological safety cabinet (BSC) or hood						2

²⁶ Hospital-acquired infections are defined as bacterial infections in hospitalized patients (i.e. pathogenic bacterial isolated from a sample collected more than 48 hours after admission). ²⁷ Community-acquired infections are defined as ambulatory patients and hospitalized patients from which a sample was collected less

than 48 hours after admission. ²⁸ If the laboratory can't distinguish between hospital & community acquired infections, the number of organisms isolated should be

recorded as "Unknown/referred". ²⁹ From sample collection to reporting.

AMR TECHNICAL SCORECARD: BLOOD Bacterial Culture, Detection, Identification and Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing of Blood Samples

		available and used for	
		handling specimens or	
		organisms considered to	
		be highly contagious by	
		air borne routes?	
12.18	B12.2	Post-exposure	
		prophylaxis:	
		a) Does the laboratory	
		have a policy for	
		cases of needlestick	
		injury?	
		b) Are Anti-retroviral	2
		drugs (ARV)	2
		available for post-	
		exposure	
		prophylaxis (PEP) in	
		case of needlestick	
		injury and, if yes, are	
		the drugs in date?	
Section 1	12: Faci	ilities and Biosafety Subtotal	4

The Antimicrobial Resistance (AMR) Laboratory Scorecard was developed in collaboration with and support from Becton Dickinson and Company (BD)





Africa Centres for Disease Control and Prevention (Africa CDC), African Union Commission Roosevelt Street W21 K19, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia